

Mountain View, California	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	NORTHERN DIS	TES DISTRICT COURT TRICT OF CALIFORNIA DSE DIVISION CASE NO. 5:10-cv-03220-PVT STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO FILE THEIR OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR REMAND Date: September 28, 2010 Dept.: 5, 4th Floor Time: 10:00 a.m.
	19 20		
	21	WHIEDER OF DESCRIPTION OF GROWING	
	22	WHEREAS, Plaintiff Timothy Gens has noticed his Motion for Remand to be heard on	
	23	September 28, 2010 before this Court;	
	24	WHEREAS, a dispute exists between Plaintiff Timothy Gens, on the one hand, and	
	25	Defendants Callvine, Inc. and Edward R. Prince, on the other hand, regarding whether or not	
	26	Plaintiff's Motion For Remand and supporting papers were timely filed and served to permit a	
	27	September 28, 2010 hearing date under the Local Rules of this Court;	
	28	WHEREAS, in order to avoid the filing by Defendants of a motion for administrative - 1 -	

relief pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11 seeking to continue the hearing date so that Defendants have				
more time to prepare and file their opposition to the Motion For Remand, Plaintiff has proposed				
modifying the briefing schedule to provide Defendants with additional time to prepare and file				
their opposition papers;				
THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULAT	ΓED BY AND BETWEEN TIMOTHY GENS			
AND DEFENDANTS' ATTORNEYS' OF RECORD AS FOLLOWS:				
1. If Plaintiff's Motion for Remand ren	mains on the Court's calendar for hearing on			
September 28, 2010, then Defendants Callvine, Inc. and Edward R. Prince shall have up to and				
including September 10, 2010 to file their opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Remand. By				
agreeing to this stipulation, Defendants are not waiving any objections whatsoever (whether				
procedural or substantive) that they may have to the Motion for Remand.				
2. Plaintiff's reply brief shall be filed in	no later than September 14, 2010.			
3. The requested time modification wi	ll not affect any other dates presently			
scheduled for this case, and neither party has previously sought any modification to the briefing				
schedule on Plaintiff's Motion for Remand.				
DATED: September 2, 2010				
	By: /s/ Timothy H. Gens Plaintiff, Timothy H. Gens			
	In Pro Per			
DATED: September 2, 2010	GCA LAW PARTNERS LLP			
	By: /s/ James L. Jacobs James L. Jacobs			
	Kathryn C. Curry			
	Attorneys for Defendant CALLVINE, INC. and EDWARD R.			
	PRINCE			
//				
//				
//				

- 2 -

Case 5:10-cv-03220-JF Document 16 Filed 09/03/10 Page 3 of 3

ORDER IT IS SO ORDERED. Defendants Callvine, Inc. and Edward R. Prince shall have up to Plaintiff's reply brief shall be filed no later than September 14, 2010. Date: ___9/3/10___ GCA LAW PARTNERS LLP - 3 -

and including September 10, 2010 to file their opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Remand.